

Delegated Decisions by Cabinet Member for Transport - Thursday, 3 June 2010

Statement of Decision

Present: Councillor Rodney Rose, Cabinet Member for Transport

Other Members in Councillor David Nimmo-Smith (Agenda Item 4)
Attendance: Councillor Michael Badcock (Agenda Item 5)

Councillor Peter Jones (Agenda Item 5) Councillor Sandy Lovatt (Agenda Item 5)

Officers S. Howell, D. Tole, M. Rush, S. Whitehead

10/10 PETITIONS AND PUBLIC ADDRESS

(Agenda No. 3)

Amanda Chumas	Item 4. Highway Matters - Northfield
Alan Jones	End and Bell Street
Mr Rosen	
Paul Stott	
Guthrie McGruer	
Jose Goumal	
George Sanders	
Norman Peters	
Christopher Russell	
Mrs Weait	
Mrs Hutton	
Mrs Hare	
Councillor David Nimmo-Smith	
Councillor Michael Badcock	Item 5. Contra-Flow Cycle Lane, East
Francis Gadden	Saint Helen Street, Abingdon
Councillor Peter Jones	
Councillor Sandy Lovatt	

QUESTIONS FROM COUNTY COUNCILLORS

Councillor John Sanders had given notice of the following question to the Cabinet Member for Transport

"As it is proposed to raise the tariff for parking in city centre car parks, will the Cabinet Member for Transport now consider withdrawing car parking charges associated with Controlled Parking Zones?"

Councillor Rodney Rose:

The purpose of these changes to the on-street parking tariffs is to bring the charges more into line with those in place in the city centre car parks. From a traffic management perspective the pricing mechanism should ideally result in around 85% occupancy of the spaces to ensure that drivers have a good chance of finding a space without driving around waiting for one to become free. It is, therefore, not possible to estimate what impact these changes will have on the overall parking revenue. It is, however, not expected that any increase in the revenue would be of sufficiently significant a level to enable any changes to the cost of parking permits.

Supplementary question:

Councillor Sanders commented that the main purpose of the proposals was to bring on-street charges into line with City Council car park charges. He queried this as there were costs associated with the running of a car park such as maintenance and security, including staffing which there were not for on-street parking. He accepted that there might be a wish to raise more money from parking but was concerned that if there was no intention to withdraw car parking charges from Controlled Parking Zones then why was only this type of revenue being reviewed. He referred to the free parking available at weekends and to visitors which he felt that the County Council was entitled to reintroduce.

Councillor Rose replied that in November 2009 he had stated his intention to harmonise on-street parking with car parking charges so that drivers would not drive round looking for a cheaper parking space with the objective of reducing congestion. The aim was not to raise money.

.

Northfield End Area of Henley-on-Thames

Documentation considered:

Report Extent of the Highway Northfield End Area of Henley-

on-Thames

A copy is attached to the signed copy of this decision.

Statement of Decision

Present: Cabinet Member for Transport: Councillor Rodney Rose Officers: S. Whitehead (Committee Officer)

The Cabinet Member for Transport considered a report concerning changes to the extent of the known highway in the Northfield End area of Henley-on-Thames and the implication of this change to the County Council as local highway authority. A possible statutory solution for one area was also considered.

Mrs Chumas a local resident spoke in relation to the spaces in front of 92-102 Bell Street commenting that she had bought her space for peace of mind and security as there was a great shortage of public and residents bays. She also referred to the difficulties of a resident of Bell Street who was disabled and would agree only on the basis of having 2 car parking spaces. Local people had bought the spaces in good faith, would be eligible for compensation but mostly did not want it but wished to keep the spaces they had. She felt there was a false assumption in respect of the footway argument as they did drive over it.

Alan Jones spoke in support of the findings of the Council and supported the stopping up of Bells Lane. Mr Rosen agreed with Mr Jones and supported the recommendations of the consultants for the status quo.

Mr Stott, speaking in relation to the school Street spoke against the Consultant's findings and suggested that a recommendation (c) include 90 Bell Street in any consultations. He commented that the 4 parking bays were used habitually and had been repaired and maintained. He referred to recent advice and the views of Henley Town Council who had asked that 90 Bell Street be excluded. He hoped that there would be a decision to include 90 Bell Street in any stopping up.

Mr McGruer, speaking on behalf of Chesterton Commercial referred to the historic position in relation to parking on Bell Street. He did not seek to challenge the consultants report but was dismayed at the conclusions. They had purchased four spaces and supported the proposal to stop up the highway on the basis that it was not needed. He commented that a key question was whether there were suitable alternative spaces available and that there were no useful public spaces.

Jose Gournal spoke in relation to 98 Bell Street where there was limited parking in front. Careful parking was required. She expressed concerns at the costs of the proposals to the County Council and reiterated other speakers in noting that she had bought the space properly and supported the status quo.

Mr Sanders and Mr Peters, residents of Northfield End also spoke in favour of the status quo.

Mr Russell, Mrs Weait and Mrs Hutton, residents directly affected by parking from 92-102 Bell Street spoke against the parking continuing on the grounds of emergency access, safety for pedestrians particularly children, heritage aspects of the area and that historically it had been highway.

Mrs Hare expressed concern for her mother's safety as a resident in the area and highlighted the Rupert House delivery vehicles as a particular problem.

Councillor David Nimmo-Smith highlighted the history of the site and that the situation was not of the Council's choosing. He supported the original stopping up order.

Officers confirmed that there would be further consultation if proposals did go forward. He responded to points about stopping up the pavement referring back to the magistrate's view and that if included it would fail as the pavement was a necessary footpath.

Councillor Rose commented that he had spent some time looking at this matter and had visited the area. He thanked officers who had also spent a great deal of time on it He proposed an additional recommendation that the Head of Transport consult the Town Council over the stopping up in front of 90 Bell Street and that it be included if agreement was reached.

Decision

Having regard to the arguments and options set out in the documentation before him, the representations made to him and the further considerations set out above the Cabinet member for Transport confirmed his decision as follows:

to:

- (a) accept the consultant's final report dated March 2010 and endorse its conclusions;
- (b) authorise the Head of Transport to update the authority's highway record map in line with the consultant's conclusions and inform the landowners/residents affected:
- (c) authorise the Head of Transport to undertake pre-application consultations associated with a stopping up of the highway for the area shown cross hatched on drawing no. 786/G183C under section 116 of the Highways Act 1980 and if the consultations support the proposal authorise the Solicitor to the Council at the direction of the Head of Transport to make an application to the Magistrates' Court for an order stopping up this section of highway on the grounds that it is unnecessary;
- (d) authorise the Head of Transport to include Northfield End, Bell Street and Bell Lane in Henley-on-Thames in the parking review including any associated minor works and consult all the residents and businesses affected and where possible arrange planting licenses with the administration costs to be met by the County Council but subject to the satisfactory resolution of the public liability insurance issue;
- (e) authorise the Head of Transport to consult with the Henley town Council again regarding the stopping up in front of No. 90 Bell Street and to include this in the process if they are in agreement.

Signed	l
•	Cabinet Member for Transport
Date	

Contra-Flow Cycle Lane, East Saint Helen Street, Abingdon

Documentation considered:

Report Contra-Flow Cycle Lane, East Saint Helen Street, Abingdon

A copy is attached to the signed copy of this decision.

Statement of Decision

Present: Cabinet Member for Transport: Councillor Rodney Rose Officers: S. Whitehead (Committee Officer)

The Cabinet Member for Transport considered introducing a contra-flow cycle lane on the northern most section of East Saint Helen Street in Abingdon; from the junction with Lombard Street to the High Street / Bridge Street junction and considered the results of an informal consultation undertaken in February 2010.

Councillor Michael Badcock supported the recommendations of officers not to include the contra-flow in the scheme.

Francis Gadden spoke in support of the removal of the taxi rank and expressed disappointment that there would be fewer spaces. She commented that as a resident of East Saint Helen Street she did not use the current cycle route and that generally people were unaware of the route. She did not support the contra-flow cycle lane.

Councillor Peter Jones and Councillor Sandy Lovatt made further representations.

Decision

Having regard to the arguments and options set out in the documentation before him, the representations made to him and the further considerations set out above the Cabinet member for Transport confirmed his decision as follows:

to:

- (a) approve that the contra-flow cycle lane be removed from the Abingdon Town Centre scheme;
- (b) authorise officers to continue to explore options of implementing other cycle schemes within Abingdon.

Signed	I
	Cabinet Member for Transport
Date	

On-Street Pay and Display Tariff Changes

Documentation	considered:	

Report On-Street Pay and Display Tariff Changes

A copy is attached to the signed copy of this decision.

Statement of Decision

Present: Cabinet Member for Transport: Councillor Rodney Rose Officers: S. Whitehead (Committee Officer)

The Cabinet Member considered a report on proposed changes to the on-street tariffs in the centre of Oxford to bring them into line with those applicable in the city centre car parks and the introduction of a 30 minute tariff for drivers who only need to park for a short time.

Decision

Having regard to the arguments and options set out in the documentation before me, I confirm my decisions on this matter as follows:

to approve the changes to the on-street parking tariffs as detailed in the report.

Signe	ed																 	 	
	Ca	abir	et	M	en	nk	Э	r	fc	r	7	۲r	aı	กร	sp	ort			
Date																			

Headington Central CPZ, Oxford Minor Amendments

Documentation considered:

Report Headington Central CPZ, Oxford Minor Amendments

A copy is attached to the signed copy of this decision.

Statement of Decision

Present: Councillor Rodney Rose, Cabinet Member for Transport; S. Whitehead (Committee Officer)

The Cabinet Member considered comments and objections received to a formal advertisement and statutory consultation to vary the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) for the Headington Central Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) to amend permit eligibility for Holyoake Hall and the parking arrangements in several streets in response to requests from local residents, councillors and businesses.

The Cabinet Member highlighted the responses and representations made on this matter and indicated that he would wish to amend the recommendations to reflect the concerns he had. He took advice from officers on the form of the amended decision.

Decision

Having regard to the arguments and options set out in the documentation before me, the representations made to me and the further considerations set out above, I confirm my decisions on this matter as follows:

to:

- (a) approve the proposed changes to the parking places in Windmill Road, Osler Road and The Croft as advertised in the Oxfordshire County Council (Headington Central) (Controlled Parking Zone and Various Restrictions) (Variation No 11*) Order 200*
- (b) not approve the proposed change to a parking place in Holyoake Road as advertised in the Oxfordshire County Council (Headington Central) (Controlled Parking Zone and Various Restrictions) (Variation No 11*) Order 200*
- (c) approve the temporary renewal of permits issued to residents of Holyoake Hall pending a final decision on eligibility, as set out in the report

Signe	ed
	Cabinet Member for Transport
Date	

Disabled Persons' Parking Places - Vale of White Horse District

Report Disabled Persons' Parking Places - Vale of White

Horse District

A copy is attached to the signed copy of this decision.

Statement of Decision

The Cabinet Member considered comments and objections to the formal consultation on proposals for the provision of new Disabled Persons' Parking Places (DPPPs), the formalisation of existing "advisory" DPPPs, and the removal of DPPPs no longer required in South Oxfordshire. This follows the publication of the draft Oxfordshire County Council (South Oxfordshire District) (Disabled Persons' Parking Places) (Amendment [No.4]) Order 20**.

Decision

Having regard to the arguments and options set out in the documentation before me, the representations made to me and the further considerations set out above, I confirm my decisions on this matter as follows:

to authorise variations to the Oxfordshire County Council (Vale of White Horse District) (Disabled Persons' Parking Places) Order 2006 as amended in this report to provide for:

- (a) ten new DPPPs, and three DPPP formalisations as set out in Annex 1 to this report; and
- (b) the removal of two DPPPs as detailed in Annex 1 to this report.

Signe	d
J	Cabinet Member for Transport
Date	